Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/577,736	XU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	THOMAS A. CONWAY	2624
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) THOMAS A. CONWAY.	(3)	
(2) <u>Larry Nixon</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 March 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 3 and 5		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Thomas A. Conway/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested amendments to the current claims to place the application in condition of allowance, specifically, editing instances of morphological "dilution" to "dilation", insertion of commas to better interpret the claim language and editing references to prior performed steps within the method. Claim 3 also had a proposed amendment to include language describing the computer readable medium as being "non-transitory", which was agreed to by the Applicant's representtive.